

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 DONALD R. CAMERON, et al.,  
8 Plaintiffs,  
9 v.  
10 APPLE INC.,  
11 Defendant.

Case No. 19-cv-03074-WHA

**ORDER DENYING PRO HAC VICE  
APPLICATION**

Re: Dkt. No. 30

12  
13 The pro hac vice application of Attorney Joseph M. Vanek Re: Dkt. No. 30 is **Denied** for  
14 failing to comply with Civil Local Rule 11-3. That rule requires an applicant to certify that "he or  
15 she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States Court or of the highest  
16 court of another State or the District of Columbia, specifying such bar" (emphasis added). Filling  
17 out the pro hac vice form from the district court website such that it identifies only the state of bar  
18 membership — e.g., "the bar of Illinois" — is inadequate under the rule because it fails to identify  
19 a specific court. While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot  
20 be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22 Dated: August 7, 2019

23  
24   
25 WILLIAM ALSUP  
26 United States District Judge  
27  
28